

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Box 1450 Alexaedts, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.mplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,527	03/30/2004	Alpern Robert	RLY 04021.101	6886
58415 7590 06/18/2010 SENNIGER POWERS LLP (ILPS)		EXAMINER		
100 NORTH BROADWAY			LEVY, NEIL S	
17TH FLOOR ST. LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01.20010,11	10 03 102		1615	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/814,527	ROBERT ET AL.		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NEIL LEVY	1615		
Il Participants: Status of Application: appeal brief filed				
(1) <u>NEIL LEVY</u> .	(3)			
(2) ATTORNEY JANET HENDRICKSON.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 10 June 2010	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: NOTENBOMER				
Claims discussed: ALL				
Prior art documents discussed: NOTENBOMER				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/ NEIL LEVY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 10/814,527

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Following an appeal conference, only the alpha acrylic acid polymer was seen as disclosed, by NOTENBOMER, & deletion would permit allowance, provided some format changes were made as cancellaations & amenddments to dependent claims. Examiner awaits attormey's consult with clients before further action.